



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,561	02/08/2006	Kota Iwamoto	040447-0276	6623
22428	7590	10/13/2010	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			WOLDEMARIAH, AKILILU K	
SUITE 500				
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,561	IWAMOTO, KOTA	
	Examiner AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM.

(3) _____.

(2) GEORGE C.BECK (REG. NO. 38,072).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2010**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 1,2 and 4

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aklilu Woldemariam/
 /DANIEL G MARIAM/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and applicant's representative discussed claims 1,2 and 4 which have identical claim limitation. For example, "comparing the feature quantity for each divided small region of the inquiry image with a feature quantity for each divided small region of the reference image, using an image-region- based weight value calculated based on a probability for an editing process to be applied to an for each local region." Applicant's representative has agreed that they share similar limitation. Applicant's representative has indicated that he may amend the claims.